

Recent Development and Techniques in
Nevanlinna Theory and Complex
Hyperbolicity: Talk at the "Second Conference
of Tsinghua Sanya International Mathematics
Forum", Dec. 20, 2011

Min Ru

My talk gives an overall review of the recent development in the study of Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Hyperbolicity, with the emphasis on the method of using the jet differentials.

A smooth complex projective variety M is said to be (Brody) hyperbolic if every entire map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ is constant. Hyperbolicity problems have two aspects, the qualitative aspect and the quantitative aspect (known as Nevanlinna's theory). The relatively harder quantitative aspect is to get a defect relation. For example, by little Picard, \mathbf{P}^1 minus three points is hyperbolic, while Nevanlinna derived a defect relation $\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ and distinct points $a_1, \dots, a_q \in \mathbf{P}^1$. Note that if $0 \leq \delta_f(a) \leq 1$ and $\delta_f(a) = 1$ if $a \notin f(\mathbf{C})$, so Nevanlinna's defect indeed gives a quantitative description of Little Picard Theorem.

The hyperbolicity has strong relation with its number theory property.

Lang's Conjecture. *If X is a projective variety defined over a number field K and is hyperbolic, then $\#X(K) < \infty$.*

1. Nevanlinna Theory Let (L, h) be an Hermitian line bundle over a complex manifold M , $s \in H^0(M, L)$ and $D = \{s = 0\}$ be a divisor. Then, by Poincare-Lelong, since $\|s\|^2 = |s_\alpha|^2 h_\alpha$, in the sense of currents,

$$dd^c \log \|s\|^2 = -c(L) + [D].$$

Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ be holomorphic with $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then, by Stokes' theorem

$$\int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z|<r} f^* c_1(L) = \int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\|f^* s(re^{i\theta})\|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + N(r, D) + O(1).$$

This give the **First Main Theorem**:

$$T_f(r, L) = m_f(r, D) + N_f(r, D) + O(1).$$

We know, for almost all divisors, $T_f(r, D) = N_f(r, D) + O(1)$, and we define the defect as

$$\delta_f(D) = 1 - \limsup \frac{N_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)}.$$

Then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for all most all D , and it is then a task to control the total defect. Here are some known results:

- **Nevanlinna**: $\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for holo. $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$.
- **Cartan, Ahlfors**: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ is holomorphic and linearly non-degenerate. $D = H_1 + \cdots + H_q$ hyperplanes in general position, then $\delta_f(D) \leq n + 1$.
- **Ru** (Annals of Math. 2009): Replacing H_j by hypersurfaces.
- **Siu-Yeung, 1996**: A =abelian variety, D effective divisor, then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ which is Zariski dense.

Griffiths' Conjecture. $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ Zariski dense holomorphic map, D s.n.c divisor on X , then

$$\delta_f(D) \leq - \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_f(r, K_X)}{T_f(r, [D])}.$$

The key in the proofs is to use the derivatives(first and higher orders), in particular the following so-called

Logarithmic Derivative Lemma (or LDL): *If f is a meromorphic function on \mathbf{C} , then*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \left| \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}(re^{i\theta}) \right| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r))), \quad k \geq 1,$$

where \leq_{exc} means that the inequality holds for all $r \in (0, +\infty)$ except a set of finite Lebesgue measure.

2. Jet Bundles and Jet Differentials: $X = \text{Cpx}$ analytic space with $\dim X = n$. Let $x \in X$ and consider a germ of holo. mappings $\phi : \Delta \subset \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi(0) = x$. Two germs $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ osculate to order k if $\phi^{(i)}(0) = \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}(0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$. Let $j_k(\phi) =$ equivalent class of ϕ and set $J_k(X)_x = \{j_k(\phi) \mid \phi : \Delta \rightarrow X, \phi(0) = x\}$ and $J_k(X) = \cup_{x \in X} J_k(X)_x$. Locally, $J_k(U) = U \times \mathbf{C}^{kn}$ with $j_k(\phi) = (x, \phi'(0), \dots, \phi^{(k)}(0))$. There is a natural \mathbf{C}^* action on $J_k(X) - \{0\}$ define as: for $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $t \in \mathbf{C}^*$, $t \cdot j_k(\phi) := j_k(\phi_t)$ where $\phi_t(z) = \phi(tz)$. Denote by $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) := (J_k(X) - \{0\})/\mathbf{C}^*$. The fiber $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ of $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \rightarrow X$ is a weighted projective space. A function on $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ is said to be homogeneous of (total) weight m if $\phi(t \cdot w) = t^m \phi(w)$. By taking local coordinates on X and allowing the coefficients of $\phi(w)$ to be holomorphic functions, we may define the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$ and a global section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$ is called a k -jet differentials on X of weight m (assuming $k! \mid m$). When $k = 1$, ω is just a (symmetric tensor) of differential forms (1-forms) on X . There is a canonical isomorphism $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes S) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^* S)$ for any $S =$ analytic sheaf.

Theorem (LDL). *Let $X =$ compact cpx mfd. and $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be holo. For $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$, write $f^* \omega = \xi(d\zeta)^m$ on \mathbf{C} . Then either $f^* \omega \equiv 0$ or $\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |\xi(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.*

If in addition that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A of X , then vanishing on an ample divisor A contributes to faster growth than $O(\log T_f(r) + \log r)$. Thus one can get $f^* \omega \equiv 0$. Thus

Fundamental Vanishing Theorem (or Schwarz lemma): *Same assumption for f . If $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ where A is ample, then $f^* \omega \equiv 0$.*

It may be convenient to use $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(-A))$. In particular, if T^* is ample, then X is hyperbolic (The result of Kobayashi). In general, the study of complex hyperbolicity is reduced to two steps: (1) The existence of ω , (2) How to reduce from $f^* \omega \equiv 0$ to $P(f) \equiv 0$ for some polynomial, or equivalently, to study the locus locus of $H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(-A))$.

In the rest of the talk, I explained how to deal with step (2) in the case of

(sub-varieties) of abelian variety (in the proof of Bloch's theorem) by Green-Griffiths, in the case of algebraic surface X with $c_1(X) > 2c_2(X)$ by Lu-Yau, as well as the recent techniques developed by Y. T. Siu. Finally we remark that J.P. Demailly (J.P. Demailly: "Holomorphic Morse inequalities and the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture", preprint, 2011,) has made a significant step towards to the solution in step 1. He proved, using the holomorphic Morse inequality, the existence of $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ when X is projective of general type. Thus, from Schwarz lemma, $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ on \mathbf{C} .

Recent Development and Techniques in Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Hyperbolicity

Min Ru

University of Houston

Introduction

A smooth cpx projective variety M is said to be (Brody) **hyperbolic** if every entire map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ is constant.

Hyperbolicity problems have two aspects, the **qualitative aspect** and the **quantitative aspect** (known as Nevanlinna's theory).

The relatively harder quantitative aspect is to get a **defect relation**. For example, by little Picard, \mathbf{P}^1 minus three points is **hyperbolic**, while Nevanlinna derived a defect relation

$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ and distinct points

$a_1, \dots, a_q \in \mathbf{P}^1$. Note that if $0 \leq \delta_f(a) \leq 1$ and $\delta_f(a) = 1$ if

$a \notin f(\mathbf{C})$, so Nevanlinna's defect indeed gives a **quantitative** description of Little Picard Theorem.

Introduction

A smooth cpx projective variety M is said to be (Brody) **hyperbolic** if every entire map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ is constant.

Hyperbolicity problems have two aspects, the **qualitative aspect** and the **quantitative aspect** (known as Nevanlinna's theory).

The relatively harder quantitative aspect is to get a **defect relation**. For example, by little Picard, \mathbf{P}^1 minus three points is **hyperbolic**, while Nevanlinna derived a defect relation

$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ and distinct points

$a_1, \dots, a_q \in \mathbf{P}^1$. Note that if $0 \leq \delta_f(a) \leq 1$ and $\delta_f(a) = 1$ if $a \notin f(\mathbf{C})$, so Nevanlinna's defect indeed gives a **quantitative** description of Little Picard Theorem.

Introduction

A smooth cpx projective variety M is said to be (Brody) **hyperbolic** if every entire map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ is constant.

Hyperbolicity problems have two aspects, the **qualitative aspect** and the **quantitative aspect** (known as Nevanlinna's theory).

The relatively harder quantitative aspect is to get a **defect relation**. For example, by little Picard, \mathbf{P}^1 minus three points is **hyperbolic**, while Nevanlinna derived a defect relation

$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ and distinct points

$a_1, \dots, a_q \in \mathbf{P}^1$. Note that if $0 \leq \delta_f(a) \leq 1$ and $\delta_f(a) = 1$ if $a \notin f(\mathbf{C})$, so Nevanlinna's defect indeed gives a **quantitative** description of Little Picard Theorem.

Introduction

A smooth cpx projective variety M is said to be (Brody) **hyperbolic** if every entire map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ is constant.

Hyperbolicity problems have two aspects, the **qualitative aspect** and the **quantitative aspect** (known as Nevanlinna's theory).

The relatively harder quantitative aspect is to get a **defect relation**. For example, by little Picard, **\mathbf{P}^1 minus three points is hyperbolic**, while Nevanlinna derived a defect relation

$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ and distinct points

$a_1, \dots, a_q \in \mathbf{P}^1$. Note that if $0 \leq \delta_f(a) \leq 1$ and $\delta_f(a) = 1$ if

$a \notin f(\mathbf{C})$, so Nevanlinna's defect indeed gives a **quantitative** description of Little Picard Theorem.

Introduction

A smooth cpx projective variety M is said to be (Brody) **hyperbolic** if every entire map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ is constant.

Hyperbolicity problems have two aspects, the **qualitative aspect** and the **quantitative aspect** (known as Nevanlinna's theory).

The relatively harder quantitative aspect is to get a **defect relation**. For example, by little Picard, **\mathbf{P}^1 minus three points is hyperbolic**, while Nevanlinna derived a defect relation

$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ and distinct points

$a_1, \dots, a_q \in \mathbf{P}^1$. Note that if $0 \leq \delta_f(a) \leq 1$ and $\delta_f(a) = 1$ if $a \notin f(\mathbf{C})$, so Nevanlinna's defect indeed gives a **quantitative** description of Little Picard Theorem.

Introduction

A smooth cpx projective variety M is said to be (Brody) *hyperbolic* if every entire map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ is constant.

Hyperbolicity problems have two aspects, the **qualitative aspect** and the **quantitative aspect** (known as Nevanlinna's theory).

The relatively harder quantitative aspect is to get a **defect relation**. For example, by little Picard, \mathbf{P}^1 minus three points is **hyperbolic**, while Nevanlinna derived a defect relation

$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ and distinct points

$a_1, \dots, a_q \in \mathbf{P}^1$. Note that if $0 \leq \delta_f(a) \leq 1$ and $\delta_f(a) = 1$ if $a \notin f(\mathbf{C})$, so Nevanlinna's defect indeed gives a **quantitative** description of Little Picard Theorem.

Introduction

A smooth cpx projective variety M is said to be (Brody) *hyperbolic* if every entire map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ is constant.

Hyperbolicity problems have two aspects, the **qualitative aspect** and the **quantitative aspect** (known as Nevanlinna's theory).

The relatively harder quantitative aspect is to get a **defect relation**. For example, by little Picard, \mathbf{P}^1 minus three points is **hyperbolic**, while Nevanlinna derived a defect relation

$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ and distinct points

$a_1, \dots, a_q \in \mathbf{P}^1$. Note that if $0 \leq \delta_f(a) \leq 1$ and $\delta_f(a) = 1$ if $a \notin f(\mathbf{C})$, so Nevanlinna's defect indeed gives a **quantitative** description of Little Picard Theorem.

The hyperbolicity has strong relation with its number theory property.

Lang's Conjecture. If X is a projective variety defined over a number field K and is hyperbolic, then $\#X(K) < \infty$.

The hyperbolicity has strong relation with its number theory property.

Lang's Conjecture. *If X is a projective variety defined over a number field K and is hyperbolic, then $\#X(K) < \infty$.*

The hyperbolicity has strong relation with its number theory property.

Lang's Conjecture. *If X is a projective variety defined over a number field K and is hyperbolic, then $\#X(K) < \infty$.*

Nevanlinna Theory

Let (L, h) be an Hermitian line bundle over a complex manifold M , $s \in H^0(M, L)$ and $D = \{s = 0\}$ be a divisor. Then, by Poincare-Lelong, since $\|s\|^2 = |s_\alpha|^2 h_\alpha$, in the sense of currents,

$$dd^c \log \|s\|^2 = -c(L) + [D].$$

Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ be holomorphic with $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then, by Stokes' theorem

$$\int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| < r} f^* c_1(L) = \int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\|f^* s(re^{i\theta})\|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + N(r, D) + O(1).$$

First Main Theorem

$$T_f(r, L) = m_f(r, D) + N_f(r, D) + O(1).$$

Nevanlinna Theory

Let (L, h) be an Hermitian line bundle over a complex manifold M , $s \in H^0(M, L)$ and $D = \{s = 0\}$ be a divisor. Then, by Poincare-Lelong, since $\|s\|^2 = |s_\alpha|^2 h_\alpha$, in the sense of currents,

$$dd^c \log \|s\|^2 = -c(L) + [D].$$

Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ be holomorphic with $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then, by Stokes' theorem

$$\int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| < r} f^* c_1(L) = \int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\|f^* s(re^{i\theta})\|^2} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + N(r, D) + O(1).$$

First Main Theorem

$$T_f(r, L) = m_f(r, D) + N_f(r, D) + O(1).$$

Nevanlinna Theory

Let (L, h) be an Hermitian line bundle over a complex manifold M , $s \in H^0(M, L)$ and $D = \{s = 0\}$ be a divisor. Then, by Poincare-Lelong, since $\|s\|^2 = |s_\alpha|^2 h_\alpha$, in the sense of currents,

$$dd^c \log \|s\|^2 = -c(L) + [D].$$

Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ be holomorphic with $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then, by Stokes' theorem

$$\int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| < r} f^* c_1(L) = \int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\|f^* s(re^{i\theta})\|^2} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + N(r, D) + O(1).$$

First Main Theorem

$$T_f(r, L) = m_f(r, D) + N_f(r, D) + O(1).$$

Nevanlinna Theory

Let (L, h) be an Hermitian line bundle over a complex manifold M , $s \in H^0(M, L)$ and $D = \{s = 0\}$ be a divisor. Then, by Poincare-Lelong, since $\|s\|^2 = |s_\alpha|^2 h_\alpha$, in the sense of currents,

$$dd^c \log \|s\|^2 = -c(L) + [D].$$

Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ be holomorphic with $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then, by Stokes' theorem

$$\int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| < r} f^* c_1(L) = \int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\|f^* s(re^{i\theta})\|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + N(r, D) + O(1).$$

First Main Theorem

$$T_f(r, L) = m_f(r, D) + N_f(r, D) + O(1).$$

Nevanlinna Theory

Let (L, h) be an Hermitian line bundle over a complex manifold M , $s \in H^0(M, L)$ and $D = \{s = 0\}$ be a divisor. Then, by Poincare-Lelong, since $\|s\|^2 = |s_\alpha|^2 h_\alpha$, in the sense of currents,

$$dd^c \log \|s\|^2 = -c(L) + [D].$$

Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow M$ be holomorphic with $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then, by Stokes' theorem

$$\int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{|z| < r} f^* c_1(L) = \int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{\|f^* s(re^{i\theta})\|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + N(r, D) + O(1).$$

First Main Theorem

$$T_f(r, L) = m_f(r, D) + N_f(r, D) + O(1).$$

We know, for almost all divisors, $T_f(r, D) = N_f(r, D) + O(1)$, and we define the **the defect** as

$$\delta_f(D) = 1 - \limsup \frac{N_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)}.$$

Then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for almost all D , and it is then a task to **control the total defect**. Here are some known results:

- Nevanlinna: $\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for holo. $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$.
- Cartan, Ahlfors: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ is holomorphic and linearly non-degenerate. $D = H_1 + \cdots + H_q$ hyperplanes in general position, then $\delta_f(D) \leq n + 1$.
- Ru (Annals of Math. 2009): Replacing H_j by hypersurfaces.
- Siu-Yeung, 1996): $A =$ abelian variety, D effective divisor, then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ which is Zariski dense.

We know, for almost all divisors, $T_f(r, D) = N_f(r, D) + O(1)$, and we define the **the defect** as

$$\delta_f(D) = 1 - \limsup \frac{N_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)}.$$

Then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for all most all D , and it is then a task to **control the total defect**. Here are some known results:

- Nevanlinna: $\sum_{j=1}^g \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for holo. $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$.
- Cartan, Ahlfors: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ is holomorphic and linearly non-degenerate. $D = H_1 + \cdots + H_g$ hyperplanes in general position, then $\delta_f(D) \leq n + 1$.
- Ru (Annals of Math. 2009): Replacing H_j by hypersurfaces.
- Siu-Yeung, 1996): $A =$ abelian variety, D effective divisor, then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ which is Zariski dense.

We know, for almost all divisors, $T_f(r, D) = N_f(r, D) + O(1)$, and we define the **the defect** as

$$\delta_f(D) = 1 - \limsup \frac{N_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)}.$$

Then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for almost all D , and it is then a task to **control the total defect**. Here are some known results:

- Nevanlinna: $\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for holo. $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$.
- Cartan, Ahlfors: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ is holomorphic and linearly non-degenerate. $D = H_1 + \cdots + H_q$ hyperplanes in general position, then $\delta_f(D) \leq n + 1$.
- Ru (Annals of Math. 2009): Replacing H_j by hypersurfaces.
- Siu-Yeung, 1996): $A =$ abelian variety, D effective divisor, then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ which is Zariski dense.

We know, for almost all divisors, $T_f(r, D) = N_f(r, D) + O(1)$, and we define the **the defect** as

$$\delta_f(D) = 1 - \limsup \frac{N_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)}.$$

Then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for almost all D , and it is then a task to **control the total defect**. Here are some known results:

- Nevanlinna: $\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for holo. $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.
- Cartan, Ahlfors: $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is holomorphic and linearly non-degenerate. $D = H_1 + \cdots + H_q$ hyperplanes in general position, then $\delta_f(D) \leq n + 1$.
- Ru (Annals of Math. 2009): Replacing H_j by hypersurfaces.
- Siu-Yeung, 1996): $A =$ abelian variety, D effective divisor, then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$ which is Zariski dense.

We know, for almost all divisors, $T_f(r, D) = N_f(r, D) + O(1)$, and we define the **the defect** as

$$\delta_f(D) = 1 - \limsup \frac{N_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)}.$$

Then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for almost all D , and it is then a task to **control the total defect**. Here are some known results:

- **Nevanlinna**: $\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for holo. $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$.
- **Cartan, Ahlfors**: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ is holomorphic and linearly non-degenerate. $D = H_1 + \cdots + H_q$ hyperplanes in general position, then $\delta_f(D) \leq n + 1$.
- **Ru** (Annals of Math. 2009): Replacing H_j by hypersurfaces.
- **Siu-Yeung, 1996**: $A =$ abelian variety, D effective divisor, then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ which is Zariski dense.

We know, for almost all divisors, $T_f(r, D) = N_f(r, D) + O(1)$, and we define the **the defect** as

$$\delta_f(D) = 1 - \limsup \frac{N_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)}.$$

Then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for almost all D , and it is then a task to **control the total defect**. Here are some known results:

- **Nevanlinna**: $\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for holo. $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$.
- **Cartan, Ahlfors**: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ is holomorphic and linearly non-degenerate. $D = H_1 + \cdots + H_q$ hyperplanes in general position, then $\delta_f(D) \leq n + 1$.
- **Ru** (Annals of Math. 2009): Replacing H_j by hypersurfaces.
- **Siu-Yeung, 1996**): $A =$ abelian variety, D effective divisor, then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ which is Zariski dense.

We know, for almost all divisors, $T_f(r, D) = N_f(r, D) + O(1)$, and we define the **the defect** as

$$\delta_f(D) = 1 - \limsup \frac{N_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)}.$$

Then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for almost all D , and it is then a task to **control the total defect**. Here are some known results:

- **Nevanlinna**: $\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for holo. $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$.
- **Cartan, Ahlfors**: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ is holomorphic and linearly non-degenerate. $D = H_1 + \cdots + H_q$ hyperplanes in general position, then $\delta_f(D) \leq n + 1$.
- **Ru** (Annals of Math. 2009): Replacing H_j by hypersurfaces.
- **Siu-Yeung, 1996**: $A =$ abelian variety, D effective divisor, then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ which is Zariski dense.

We know, for almost all divisors, $T_f(r, D) = N_f(r, D) + O(1)$, and we define the **the defect** as

$$\delta_f(D) = 1 - \limsup \frac{N_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_f(r, D)}{T_f(r, D)}.$$

Then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for almost all D , and it is then a task to **control the total defect**. Here are some known results:

- **Nevanlinna**: $\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f(a_j) \leq 2$ for holo. $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$.
- **Cartan, Ahlfors**: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ is holomorphic and linearly non-degenerate. $D = H_1 + \cdots + H_q$ hyperplanes in general position, then $\delta_f(D) \leq n + 1$.
- **Ru** (Annals of Math. 2009): Replacing H_j by hypersurfaces.
- **Siu-Yeung, 1996**: $A =$ abelian variety, D effective divisor, then $\delta_f(D) = 0$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ which is Zariski dense.

Griffiths' Conjecture. $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ Zariski dense holomorphic map,
 D s.n.c divisor on X , then

$$\delta_f(D) \leq - \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_f(r, K_X)}{T_f(r, [D])}.$$

The key in the proofs is to use the derivatives (first and higher orders), in particular the following so-called **Logarithmic Derivative Lemma** (or LDL): *If f is a meromorphic function on \mathbf{C} , then*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \left| \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}(re^{i\theta}) \right| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r))), \quad k \geq 1,$$

where \leq_{exc} means that the inequality holds for all $r \in (0, +\infty)$ except a set of finite Lebesgue measure.

The key in the proofs is to use the derivatives (first and higher orders), in particular the following so-called **Logarithmic Derivative Lemma** (or LDL): *If f is a meromorphic function on \mathbf{C} , then*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})}{f} \right| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r))), \quad k \geq 1,$$

where \leq_{exc} means that the inequality holds for all $r \in (0, +\infty)$ except a set of finite Lebesgue measure.

Jet Bundles and Jet Differentials

$X = \mathbf{C}^n$ analytic space with $\dim X = n$. Let $x \in X$ and consider a germ of holo. mappings $\phi : \Delta \subset \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi(0) = x$. Two germs $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ **osculate to order k** if $\phi^{(i)}(0) = \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}(0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Let $j_k(\phi) =$ equivalent class of ϕ and set

$J_k(X)_x = \{j_k(\phi) \mid \phi : \Delta \rightarrow X, \phi(0) = x\}$ and

$J_k(X) = \cup_{x \in X} J_k(X)_x$. Locally, $J_k(U) = U \times \mathbf{C}^{kn}$ with

$j_k(\phi) = (x, \phi'(0), \dots, \phi^{(k)}(0))$. There is a **natural \mathbf{C}^* action on**

$J_k(X) - \{0\}$ define as: for $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $t \in \mathbf{C}^*$,

$t \cdot j_k(\phi) := j_k(\phi_t)$ where $\phi_t(z) = \phi(tz)$. Denote by

$\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) := (J_k(X) - \{0\})/\mathbf{C}^*$.

Jet Bundles and Jet Differentials

$X = \mathbf{C}^n$ analytic space with $\dim X = n$. Let $x \in X$ and consider a germ of holo. mappings $\phi : \Delta \subset \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi(0) = x$. Two germs $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ **osculate to order k** if $\phi^{(i)}(0) = \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}(0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Let $j_k(\phi) =$ equivalent class of ϕ and set

$J_k(X)_x = \{j_k(\phi) \mid \phi : \Delta \rightarrow X, \phi(0) = x\}$ and

$J_k(X) = \cup_{x \in X} J_k(X)_x$. Locally, $J_k(U) = U \times \mathbf{C}^{kn}$ with

$j_k(\phi) = (x, \phi'(0), \dots, \phi^{(k)}(0))$. There is a **natural \mathbf{C}^* action on**

$J_k(X) - \{0\}$ define as: for $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $t \in \mathbf{C}^*$,

$t \cdot j_k(\phi) := j_k(\phi_t)$ where $\phi_t(z) = \phi(tz)$. Denote by

$\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) := (J_k(X) - \{0\})/\mathbf{C}^*$.

Jet Bundles and Jet Differentials

$X = \mathbf{C}^n$ analytic space with $\dim X = n$. Let $x \in X$ and consider a germ of holo. mappings $\phi : \Delta \subset \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi(0) = x$. Two germs $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ **osculate to order k** if $\phi^{(i)}(0) = \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}(0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Let $j_k(\phi) =$ equivalent class of ϕ and set

$J_k(X)_x = \{j_k(\phi) \mid \phi : \Delta \rightarrow X, \phi(0) = x\}$ and

$J_k(X) = \cup_{x \in X} J_k(X)_x$. Locally, $J_k(U) = U \times \mathbf{C}^{kn}$ with

$j_k(\phi) = (x, \phi'(0), \dots, \phi^{(k)}(0))$. There is a **natural \mathbf{C}^* action on**

$J_k(X) - \{0\}$ define as: for $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $t \in \mathbf{C}^*$,

$t \cdot j_k(\phi) := j_k(\phi_t)$ where $\phi_t(z) = \phi(tz)$. Denote by

$\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) := (J_k(X) - \{0\})/\mathbf{C}^*$.

Jet Bundles and Jet Differentials

$X = \mathbf{C}^n$ analytic space with $\dim X = n$. Let $x \in X$ and consider a germ of holo. mappings $\phi : \Delta \subset \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi(0) = x$. Two germs $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ **osculate to order k** if $\phi^{(i)}(0) = \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}(0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Let $j_k(\phi) =$ equivalent class of ϕ and set

$J_k(X)_x = \{j_k(\phi) \mid \phi : \Delta \rightarrow X, \phi(0) = x\}$ and

$J_k(X) = \cup_{x \in X} J_k(X)_x$. Locally, $J_k(U) = U \times \mathbf{C}^{kn}$ with

$j_k(\phi) = (x, \phi'(0), \dots, \phi^{(k)}(0))$. There is a **natural \mathbf{C}^* action on**

$J_k(X) - \{0\}$ define as: for $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $t \in \mathbf{C}^*$,

$t \cdot j_k(\phi) := j_k(\phi_t)$ where $\phi_t(z) = \phi(tz)$. Denote by

$\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) := (J_k(X) - \{0\})/\mathbf{C}^*$.

Jet Bundles and Jet Differentials

$X = \mathbf{C}^n$ analytic space with $\dim X = n$. Let $x \in X$ and consider a germ of holo. mappings $\phi : \Delta \subset \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi(0) = x$. Two germs $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ **osculate to order k** if $\phi^{(i)}(0) = \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}(0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Let $j_k(\phi) =$ equivalent class of ϕ and set

$J_k(X)_x = \{j_k(\phi) \mid \phi : \Delta \rightarrow X, \phi(0) = x\}$ and

$J_k(X) = \cup_{x \in X} J_k(X)_x$. Locally, $J_k(U) = U \times \mathbf{C}^{kn}$ with

$j_k(\phi) = (x, \phi'(0), \dots, \phi^{(k)}(0))$. There is a **natural \mathbf{C}^* action on**

$J_k(X) - \{0\}$ define as: for $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $t \in \mathbf{C}^*$,

$t \cdot j_k(\phi) := j_k(\phi_t)$ where $\phi_t(z) = \phi(tz)$. Denote by

$\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) := (J_k(X) - \{0\})/\mathbf{C}^*$.

Jet Bundles and Jet Differentials

$X = \mathbb{C}^n$ analytic space with $\dim X = n$. Let $x \in X$ and consider a germ of holo. mappings $\phi : \Delta \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi(0) = x$. Two germs $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ **osculate to order k** if $\phi^{(i)}(0) = \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}(0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Let $j_k(\phi) =$ equivalent class of ϕ and set

$J_k(X)_x = \{j_k(\phi) \mid \phi : \Delta \rightarrow X, \phi(0) = x\}$ and

$J_k(X) = \cup_{x \in X} J_k(X)_x$. Locally, $J_k(U) = U \times \mathbb{C}^{kn}$ with

$j_k(\phi) = (x, \phi'(0), \dots, \phi^{(k)}(0))$. There is a **natural \mathbb{C}^* action on**

$J_k(X) - \{0\}$ define as: for $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$,

$t \cdot j_k(\phi) := j_k(\phi_t)$ where $\phi_t(z) = \phi(tz)$. Denote by

$\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) := (J_k(X) - \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^*$.

Jet Bundles and Jet Differentials

$X = \mathbf{C}^n$ analytic space with $\dim X = n$. Let $x \in X$ and consider a germ of holo. mappings $\phi : \Delta \subset \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi(0) = x$. Two germs $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ **osculate to order k** if $\phi^{(i)}(0) = \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}(0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Let $j_k(\phi) =$ equivalent class of ϕ and set

$J_k(X)_x = \{j_k(\phi) \mid \phi : \Delta \rightarrow X, \phi(0) = x\}$ and

$J_k(X) = \cup_{x \in X} J_k(X)_x$. Locally, $J_k(U) = U \times \mathbf{C}^{kn}$ with

$j_k(\phi) = (x, \phi'(0), \dots, \phi^{(k)}(0))$. There is a natural \mathbf{C}^* action on

$J_k(X) - \{0\}$ define as: for $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $t \in \mathbf{C}^*$,

$t \cdot j_k(\phi) := j_k(\phi_t)$ where $\phi_t(z) = \phi(tz)$. Denote by

$\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) := (J_k(X) - \{0\})/\mathbf{C}^*$.

Jet Bundles and Jet Differentials

$X = \mathbf{C}^n$ analytic space with $\dim X = n$. Let $x \in X$ and consider a germ of holo. mappings $\phi : \Delta \subset \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi(0) = x$. Two germs $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ **osculate to order k** if $\phi^{(i)}(0) = \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}(0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Let $j_k(\phi) =$ equivalent class of ϕ and set

$J_k(X)_x = \{j_k(\phi) \mid \phi : \Delta \rightarrow X, \phi(0) = x\}$ and

$J_k(X) = \cup_{x \in X} J_k(X)_x$. Locally, $J_k(U) = U \times \mathbf{C}^{kn}$ with

$j_k(\phi) = (x, \phi'(0), \dots, \phi^{(k)}(0))$. There is a **natural \mathbf{C}^* action on**

$J_k(X) - \{0\}$ define as: for $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $t \in \mathbf{C}^*$,

$t \cdot j_k(\phi) := j_k(\phi_t)$ where $\phi_t(z) = \phi(tz)$. Denote by

$\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) := (J_k(X) - \{0\})/\mathbf{C}^*$.

Jet Bundles and Jet Differentials

$X = \mathbf{C}^n$ analytic space with $\dim X = n$. Let $x \in X$ and consider a germ of holo. mappings $\phi : \Delta \subset \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi(0) = x$. Two germs $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ **osculate to order k** if $\phi^{(i)}(0) = \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}(0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Let $j_k(\phi) =$ equivalent class of ϕ and set

$J_k(X)_x = \{j_k(\phi) \mid \phi : \Delta \rightarrow X, \phi(0) = x\}$ and

$J_k(X) = \cup_{x \in X} J_k(X)_x$. Locally, $J_k(U) = U \times \mathbf{C}^{kn}$ with

$j_k(\phi) = (x, \phi'(0), \dots, \phi^{(k)}(0))$. There is a **natural \mathbf{C}^* action on**

$J_k(X) - \{0\}$ define as: for $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $t \in \mathbf{C}^*$,

$t \cdot j_k(\phi) := j_k(\phi_t)$ where $\phi_t(z) = \phi(tz)$. Denote by

$\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) := (J_k(X) - \{0\})/\mathbf{C}^*$.

Jet Bundles and Jet Differentials

$X = \mathbf{C}^n$ analytic space with $\dim X = n$. Let $x \in X$ and consider a germ of holo. mappings $\phi : \Delta \subset \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi(0) = x$. Two germs $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ **osculate to order k** if $\phi^{(i)}(0) = \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}(0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Let $j_k(\phi) =$ equivalent class of ϕ and set

$J_k(X)_x = \{j_k(\phi) \mid \phi : \Delta \rightarrow X, \phi(0) = x\}$ and

$J_k(X) = \cup_{x \in X} J_k(X)_x$. Locally, $J_k(U) = U \times \mathbf{C}^{kn}$ with

$j_k(\phi) = (x, \phi'(0), \dots, \phi^{(k)}(0))$. There is a **natural \mathbf{C}^* action on**

$J_k(X) - \{0\}$ define as: for $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $t \in \mathbf{C}^*$,

$t \cdot j_k(\phi) := j_k(\phi_t)$ where $\phi_t(z) = \phi(tz)$. Denote by

$\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) := (J_k(X) - \{0\})/\mathbf{C}^*$.

Jet Bundles and Jet Differentials

$X = \mathbf{C}^n$ analytic space with $\dim X = n$. Let $x \in X$ and consider a germ of holo. mappings $\phi : \Delta \subset \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ with $\phi(0) = x$. Two germs $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ **osculate to order k** if $\phi^{(i)}(0) = \tilde{\phi}^{(i)}(0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Let $j_k(\phi) =$ equivalent class of ϕ and set

$J_k(X)_x = \{j_k(\phi) \mid \phi : \Delta \rightarrow X, \phi(0) = x\}$ and

$J_k(X) = \cup_{x \in X} J_k(X)_x$. Locally, $J_k(U) = U \times \mathbf{C}^{kn}$ with

$j_k(\phi) = (x, \phi'(0), \dots, \phi^{(k)}(0))$. There is a **natural \mathbf{C}^* action on**

$J_k(X) - \{0\}$ define as: for $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ and $t \in \mathbf{C}^*$,

$t \cdot j_k(\phi) := j_k(\phi_t)$ where $\phi_t(z) = \phi(tz)$. Denote by

$\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) := (J_k(X) - \{0\})/\mathbf{C}^*$.

The fiber $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ of $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \rightarrow X$ is a weighted projective space. A function on $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ is said to be **homogeneous of (total) weight m** if $\phi(t \cdot w) = t^m \phi(w)$. By taking local coordinates on X and allowing the coefficients of $\phi(w)$ to be holomorphic functions, we may define the **sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$** and a global section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$ is called a **k -jet differentials on X of weight m** (assuming $k! | m$). When $k = 1$, ω is just a (symmetric tensor) of differential forms (1-forms) on X . There is a canonical isomorphism $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{S}) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{S})$ for any \mathcal{S} =analytic sheaf.

The fiber $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ of $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \rightarrow X$ is a weighted projective space. A function on $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ is said to be **homogeneous of (total) weight m** if $\phi(t \cdot w) = t^m \phi(w)$. By taking local coordinates on X and allowing the coefficients of $\phi(w)$ to be holomorphic functions, we may define the **sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$** and a global section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$ is called a **k -jet differentials on X of weight m** (assuming $k! | m$). When $k = 1$, ω is just a (symmetric tensor) of differential forms (1-forms) on X . There is a canonical isomorphism $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{S}) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{S})$ for any \mathcal{S} =analytic sheaf.

The fiber $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ of $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \rightarrow X$ is a weighted projective space. A function on $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ is said to be **homogeneous of (total) weight m** if $\phi(t \cdot w) = t^m \phi(w)$. By taking local coordinates on X and allowing the coefficients of $\phi(w)$ to be holomorphic functions, we may define the **sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$** and a global section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$ is called a **k -jet differentials on X of weight m** (assuming $k! \mid m$). When $k = 1$, ω is just a (symmetric tensor) of differential forms (1-forms) on X . There is a canonical isomorphism $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{S}) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{S})$ for any \mathcal{S} =analytic sheaf.

The fiber $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ of $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \rightarrow X$ is a weighted projective space. A function on $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ is said to be **homogeneous of (total) weight m** if $\phi(t \cdot w) = t^m \phi(w)$. By taking local coordinates on X and allowing the coefficients of $\phi(w)$ to be holomorphic functions, we may define the **sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$** and a global section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$ is called a **k -jet differentials on X of weight m** (assuming $k! | m$). When $k = 1$, ω is just a (symmetric tensor) of differential forms (1-forms) on X . There is a canonical isomorphism $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes S) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^* S)$ for any S =analytic sheaf.

The fiber $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ of $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \rightarrow X$ is a weighted projective space. A function on $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ is said to be **homogeneous of (total) weight m** if $\phi(t \cdot w) = t^m \phi(w)$. By taking local coordinates on X and allowing the coefficients of $\phi(w)$ to be holomorphic functions, we may define the **sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$** and a global section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$ is called a **k -jet differentials on X of weight m** (assuming $k! | m$). When $k = 1$, ω is just a (symmetric tensor) of differential forms (1-forms) on X . There is a canonical isomorphism $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes S) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^* S)$ for any S =analytic sheaf.

The fiber $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ of $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \rightarrow X$ is a weighted projective space. A function on $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)_x)$ is said to be **homogeneous of (total) weight m** if $\phi(t \cdot w) = t^m \phi(w)$. By taking local coordinates on X and allowing the coefficients of $\phi(w)$ to be holomorphic functions, we may define the **sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$** and a global section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$ is called a **k -jet differentials on X of weight m** (assuming $k! \mid m$). When $k = 1$, ω is just a (symmetric tensor) of differential forms (1-forms) on X . There is a canonical isomorphism

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{S}) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{S})$$

for any \mathcal{S} =analytic sheaf.

The LDL was generalized as follows (first appeared in Ru-Wong: Holomorphic curves into abelian and semi-abelian varieties, 1995):

Theorem. *Let $X = \text{compact cpx mfd.}$ and $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be holo. For $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$, write $f^*\omega = \xi(d\zeta)^m$ on \mathbf{C} . Then either $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ or $\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |\xi(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq_{\text{exc}} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.*

Remarks: 1. In general, let D be an effective divisor on X with s.n.c. and $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then above holds for $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\log D))$.

2. Nevanlinna's original LDL makes use of the log-pole differential $\omega = dw/w$ with inhomogeneous coordinate w on \mathbf{C} which is a differential form with log-singularity along $D = \{0, \infty\}$.

The LDL was generalized as follows (first appeared in Ru-Wong: Holomorphic curves into abelian and semi-abelian varieties, 1995):

Theorem. *Let $X = \text{compact cpx mfd.}$ and $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be holo. For $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$, write $f^*\omega = \xi(d\zeta)^m$ on \mathbf{C} . Then either $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ or $\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |\xi(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq_{\text{exc}} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.*

Remarks: 1. In general, let D be an effective divisor on X with s.n.c. and $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then above holds for $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\log D))$.

2. Nevanlinna's original LDL makes use of the log-pole differential $\omega = dw/w$ with inhomogeneous coordinate w on \mathbf{C} which is a differential form with log-singularity along $D = \{0, \infty\}$.

The LDL was generalized as follows (first appeared in Ru-Wong: Holomorphic curves into abelian and semi-abelian varieties, 1995):

Theorem. *Let $X = \text{compact cpx mfd.}$ and $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be holo. For $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$, write $f^*\omega = \xi(d\zeta)^m$ on \mathbf{C} . Then either $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ or $\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |\xi(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq_{\text{exc}} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.*

Remarks: 1. In general, let D be an effective divisor on X with s.n.c. and $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then above holds for $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\log D))$.

2. Nevanlinna's original LDL makes use of the log-pole differential $\omega = dw/w$ with inhomogeneous coordinate w on \mathbf{C} which is a differential form with log-singularity along $D = \{0, \infty\}$.

The LDL was generalized as follows (first appeared in Ru-Wong: Holomorphic curves into abelian and semi-abelian varieties, 1995):

Theorem. Let $X = \text{compact cpx mfd.}$ and $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be holo. For $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$, write $f^*\omega = \xi(d\zeta)^m$ on \mathbf{C} . Then either $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ or $\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |\xi(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq_{\text{exc}} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.

Remarks: 1. In general, let D be an effective divisor on X with s.n.c. and $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then above holds for $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\log D))$.

2. Nevanlinna's original LDL makes use of the log-pole differential $\omega = dw/w$ with inhomogeneous coordinate w on \mathbf{C} which is a differential form with log-singularity along $D = \{0, \infty\}$.

The LDL was generalized as follows (first appeared in Ru-Wong: Holomorphic curves into abelian and semi-abelian varieties, 1995):

Theorem. *Let $X = \text{compact cpx mfd.}$ and $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be holo. For $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$, write $f^*\omega = \xi(d\zeta)^m$ on \mathbf{C} . Then either $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ or $\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |\xi(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.*

Remarks: 1. In general, let D be an effective divisor on X with s.n.c. and $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then above holds for $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\log D))$.

2. Nevanlinna's original LDL makes use of the log-pole differential $\omega = dw/w$ with inhomogeneous coordinate w on \mathbf{C} which is a differential form with log-singularity along $D = \{0, \infty\}$.

The LDL was generalized as follows (first appeared in Ru-Wong: Holomorphic curves into abelian and semi-abelian varieties, 1995):

Theorem. Let $X = \text{compact cpx mfd.}$ and $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be holo. For $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$, write $f^*\omega = \xi(d\zeta)^m$ on \mathbf{C} . Then either $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ or $\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |\xi(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq_{\text{exc}} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.

Remarks: 1. In general, let D be an effective divisor on X with s.n.c. and $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then above holds for $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\log D))$.

2. Nevanlinna's original LDL makes use of the log-pole differential $\omega = dw/w$ with inhomogeneous coordinate w on \mathbf{C} which is a differential form with log-singularity along $D = \{0, \infty\}$.

The LDL was generalized as follows (first appeared in Ru-Wong: Holomorphic curves into abelian and semi-abelian varieties, 1995):

Theorem. Let $X = \text{compact cpx mfd.}$ and $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be holo. For $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$, write $f^*\omega = \xi(d\zeta)^m$ on \mathbf{C} . Then either $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ or $\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |\xi(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq_{\text{exc}} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.

Remarks: 1. In general, let D be an effective divisor on X with s.n.c. and $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then above holds for $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\log D))$.

2. Nevanlinna's original LDL makes use of the log-pole differential $\omega = dw/w$ with inhomogeneous coordinate w on \mathbf{C} which is a differential form with log-singularity along $D = \{0, \infty\}$.

The LDL was generalized as follows (first appeared in Ru-Wong: Holomorphic curves into abelian and semi-abelian varieties, 1995):

Theorem. Let $X = \text{compact cpx mfd.}$ and $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be holo. For $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m})$, write $f^*\omega = \xi(d\zeta)^m$ on \mathbf{C} . Then either $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ or $\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |\xi(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq_{\text{exc}} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.

Remarks: 1. In general, let D be an effective divisor on X with s.n.c. and $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset D$. Then above holds for $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\log D))$.

2. Nevanlinna's original LDL makes use of the log-pole differential $\omega = dw/w$ with inhomogeneous coordinate w on \mathbf{C} which is a differential form with log-singularity along $D = \{0, \infty\}$.

If in addition that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A of X , then vanishing on an ample divisor A contributes to faster growth than $O(\log T_f(r) + \log r)$. Thus one can get $f^*\omega \equiv 0$. Thus **Fundamental Vanishing Theorem (or Schwarz lemma)**: *Same assumption for f . If $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ where A is ample, then $f^*\omega \equiv 0$.*

It may be convenient to use

$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$. In particular, if T^* is ample, then X is hyperbolic (The result of Kobayashi). In general, the study of complex hyperbolicity is reduced to two steps: (1) The existence of ω , (2) How to reduce from $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ to $P(f) \equiv 0$ for some polynomial, or equivalently, to study the locus $H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$.

If in addition that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A of X , then vanishing on an ample divisor A contributes to faster growth than $O(\log T_f(r) + \log r)$. Thus one can get $f^*\omega \equiv 0$. Thus **Fundamental Vanishing Theorem (or Schwarz lemma)**: *Same assumption for f . If $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ where A is ample, then $f^*\omega \equiv 0$.*

It may be convenient to use

$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$. In particular, if T^* is ample, then X is hyperbolic (The result of Kobayashi). In general, the study of complex hyperbolicity is reduced to two steps: (1) The existence of ω , (2) How to reduce from $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ to $P(f) \equiv 0$ for some polynomial, or equivalently, to study the locus $H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$.

If in addition that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A of X , then vanishing on an ample divisor A contributes to faster growth than $O(\log T_f(r) + \log r)$. Thus one can get $f^*\omega \equiv 0$. Thus **Fundamental Vanishing Theorem (or Schwarz lemma)**: *Same assumption for f . If $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ where A is ample, then $f^*\omega \equiv 0$.*

It may be convenient to use

$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$. In particular, if T^* is ample, then X is hyperbolic (The result of Kobayashi). In general, the study of complex hyperbolicity is reduced to two steps: (1) The existence of ω , (2) How to reduce from $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ to $P(f) \equiv 0$ for some polynomial, or equivalently, to study the locus $H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$.

If in addition that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A of X , then vanishing on an ample divisor A contributes to faster growth than $O(\log T_f(r) + \log r)$. Thus one can get $f^*\omega \equiv 0$. Thus **Fundamental Vanishing Theorem (or Schwarz lemma)**: *Same assumption for f . If $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ where A is ample, then $f^*\omega \equiv 0$.*

It may be convenient to use

$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$. In particular, if T^* is ample, then X is hyperbolic (The result of Kobayashi). In general, the study of complex hyperbolicity is reduced to two steps: (1) The existence of ω , (2) How to reduce from $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ to $P(f) \equiv 0$ for some polynomial, or equivalently, to study the locus $H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$.

If in addition that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A of X , then vanishing on an ample divisor A contributes to faster growth than $O(\log T_f(r) + \log r)$. Thus one can get $f^*\omega \equiv 0$. Thus **Fundamental Vanishing Theorem (or Schwarz lemma)**: Same assumption for f . If $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ where A is ample, then $f^*\omega \equiv 0$.

It may be convenient to use

$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$. In particular, if T^* is ample, then X is hyperbolic (The result of Kobayashi). In general, the study of complex hyperbolicity is reduced to two steps: (1) The existence of ω , (2) How to reduce from $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ to $P(f) \equiv 0$ for some polynomial, or equivalently, to study the locus locus of $H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$.

If in addition that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A of X , then vanishing on an ample divisor A contributes to faster growth than $O(\log T_f(r) + \log r)$. Thus one can get $f^*\omega \equiv 0$. Thus **Fundamental Vanishing Theorem (or Schwarz lemma)**: Same assumption for f . If $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ where A is ample, then $f^*\omega \equiv 0$.

It may be convenient to use

$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$. In particular, if T^* is ample, then X is hyperbolic (The result of Kobayashi). In general, the study of complex hyperbolicity is reduced to two steps: (1) The existence of ω , (2) How to reduce from $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ to $P(f) \equiv 0$ for some polynomial, or equivalently, to study the locus $H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$.

If in addition that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A of X , then vanishing on an ample divisor A contributes to faster growth than $O(\log T_f(r) + \log r)$. Thus one can get $f^*\omega \equiv 0$. Thus **Fundamental Vanishing Theorem (or Schwarz lemma)**: Same assumption for f . If $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ where A is ample, then $f^*\omega \equiv 0$.

It may be convenient to use

$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$. In particular, if T^* is ample, then X is hyperbolic (The result of Kobayashi). In general, the study of complex hyperbolicity is reduced to two steps: (1) The existence of ω , (2) How to reduce from $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ to $P(f) \equiv 0$ for some polynomial, or equivalently, to study the locus $H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$.

If in addition that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A of X , then vanishing on an ample divisor A contributes to faster growth than $O(\log T_f(r) + \log r)$. Thus one can get $f^*\omega \equiv 0$. Thus **Fundamental Vanishing Theorem (or Schwarz lemma)**: Same assumption for f . If $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ where A is ample, then $f^*\omega \equiv 0$.

It may be convenient to use

$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$. In particular, if T^* is ample, then X is hyperbolic (The result of Kobayashi). In general, the study of complex hyperbolicity is reduced to two steps: (1) The existence of ω , (2) How to reduce from $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ to $P(f) \equiv 0$ for some polynomial, or equivalently, to study the locus $H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$.

If in addition that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A of X , then vanishing on an ample divisor A contributes to faster growth than $O(\log T_f(r) + \log r)$. Thus one can get $f^*\omega \equiv 0$. Thus **Fundamental Vanishing Theorem (or Schwarz lemma)**: Same assumption for f . If $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ where A is ample, then $f^*\omega \equiv 0$.

It may be convenient to use

$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$. In particular, if T^* is ample, then X is hyperbolic (The result of Kobayashi). In general, the study of complex hyperbolicity is reduced to two steps: (1) The existence of ω , (2) How to reduce from $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ to $P(f) \equiv 0$ for some polynomial, or equivalently, to study the locus locus of $H^0(\mathbf{P}(J_k(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(-A))$.

One can prove that for sufficiently large l , the base locus of the linear system $|\mathcal{O}(lm) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(-A)|$ is contained in $B_{k,m}$, which is the union of the base locus of $|\mathcal{O}(m)|$ on $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$ and the set $\{j \in \mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \mid \dim(\phi_m^{-1}(\phi_m(j))) \geq 1\}$, where $\phi_m : \mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^N$ is the map defined by the linear system $|\mathcal{O}(m)|$ on $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$.

One can prove that for sufficiently large l , the base locus of the linear system $|\mathcal{O}(lm) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(-A)|$ is contained in $B_{k,m}$, which is the union of the base locus of $|\mathcal{O}(m)|$ on $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$ and the set $\{j \in \mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \mid \dim(\phi_m^{-1}(\phi_m(j))) \geq 1\}$, where $\phi_m : \mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^N$ is the map defined by the linear system $|\mathcal{O}(m)|$ on $\mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$.

We then can re-write the earlier theorem as follows

Schwarz Lemma (of Green-Griffiths). *Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic curve with canonical lifting $j_k(f) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$. Then, for any m with $k!|m$,*

$$j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \subset B_{k,m},$$

where $B_{k,m}$ is the *union* of the *base locus* of $|\mathcal{O}(m)|$ and the set $\{j \in \mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \mid \dim(\phi_m^{-1}(\phi_m(j))) \geq 1\}$.

We then can re-write the earlier theorem as follows

Schwarz Lemma (of Green-Griffiths). *Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic curve with canonical lifting $j_k(f) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$. Then, for any m with $k!|m$,*

$$j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \subset B_{k,m},$$

where $B_{k,m}$ is the *union* of the *base locus* of $|\mathcal{O}(m)|$ and the set $\{j \in \mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \mid \dim(\phi_m^{-1}(\phi_m(j))) \geq 1\}$.

We then can re-write the earlier theorem as follows
Schwarz Lemma (of Green-Griffiths). *Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic curve with canonical lifting $j_k(f) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$. Then, for any m with $k!|m$,*

$$j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \subset B_{k,m},$$

where $B_{k,m}$ is the *union* of the *base locus* of $|\mathcal{O}(m)|$ and the set $\{j \in \mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \mid \dim(\phi_m^{-1}(\phi_m(j))) \geq 1\}$.

We then can re-write the earlier theorem as follows
Schwarz Lemma (of Green-Griffiths). Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic curve with canonical lifting $j_k(f) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$. Then, for any m with $k!|m$,

$$j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \subset B_{k,m},$$

where $B_{k,m}$ is the **union** of the **base locus** of $|\mathcal{O}(m)|$ and the set $\{j \in \mathbf{P}(J_k(X)) \mid \dim(\phi_m^{-1}(\phi_m(j))) \geq 1\}$.

Methods of dealing with $B_{k,m}$

Abelian variety case (Green-Griffiths): Let $X \subset A$, for each k , take $m = k!$, Schwarz Lemma \implies
 $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \subset \{j, \dim(\phi_m^{-1}(\phi_m(j))) \geq 1\}$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$. This means \exists a positive variety in the fiber $\phi_k^{-1}(\phi_m(j_m(f)(t_0)))$, say a germ $t' \mapsto u(t') = (z(t'), j_k) \in \mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$ with $u(0) = j_k(f)(t_0) = (z_0, j_k)$, where $z_0 = f(t_0) \in A$. Then $V_k(f) := \{s \in A : j_k(f)(t_0) \in J_k(X) \cap J_k(\tau_{-s}(X))\}$ contains the curve $s(t') := z(t') - z_0$ through 0, thus $\dim V_k \geq 1$. The nested sequence $V_1(f) \supseteq V_2(f) \supseteq V_3(f) \supseteq \dots$ eventually stabilizes at a variety $V(f)$ with $\dim V(f) \geq 1$. By power series, $a \in V(f)$ if and only if $f(\mathbf{C}) \subset X \cap (X + a)$. So $\dim\{a \in A \mid a + f(\mathbf{C}) \in X\} \geq 1$.

Methods of dealing with $B_{k,m}$

Abelian variety case (Green-Griffiths): Let $X \subset A$, for each k , take $m = k!$, Schwarz Lemma \implies
 $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \subset \{j, \dim(\phi_m^{-1}(\phi_m(j))) \geq 1\}$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$. This means \exists a positive variety in the fiber $\phi_k^{-1}(\phi_m(j_m(f)(t_0)))$, say a germ $t' \mapsto u(t') = (z(t'), j_k) \in \mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$ with $u(0) = j_k(f)(t_0) = (z_0, j_k)$, where $z_0 = f(t_0) \in A$. Then $V_k(f) := \{s \in A : j_k(f)(t_0) \in J_k(X) \cap J_k(\tau_{-s}(X))\}$ contains the curve $s(t') := z(t') - z_0$ through 0, thus $\dim V_k \geq 1$. The nested sequence $V_1(f) \supseteq V_2(f) \supseteq V_3(f) \supseteq \dots$ eventually stabilizes at a variety $V(f)$ with $\dim V(f) \geq 1$. By power series, $a \in V(f)$ if and only if $f(\mathbf{C}) \subset X \cap (X + a)$. So $\dim\{a \in A \mid a + f(\mathbf{C}) \in X\} \geq 1$.

Methods of dealing with $B_{k,m}$

Abelian variety case (Green-Griffiths): Let $X \subset A$, for each k , take $m = k!$, Schwarz Lemma \implies
 $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \subset \{j, \dim(\phi_m^{-1}(\phi_m(j))) \geq 1\}$ for $f: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$. This means \exists a positive variety in the fiber $\phi_k^{-1}(\phi_m(j_m(f)(t_0)))$, say a germ $t' \mapsto u(t') = (z(t'), j_k) \in \mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$ with $u(0) = j_k(f)(t_0) = (z_0, j_k)$, where $z_0 = f(t_0) \in A$. Then $V_k(f) := \{s \in A : j_k(f)(t_0) \in J_k(X) \cap J_k(\tau_{-s}(X))\}$ contains the curve $s(t') := z(t') - z_0$ through 0, thus $\dim V_k \geq 1$. The nested sequence $V_1(f) \supseteq V_2(f) \supseteq V_3(f) \supseteq \dots$ eventually stabilizes at a variety $V(f)$ with $\dim V(f) \geq 1$. By power series, $a \in V(f)$ if and only if $f(\mathbf{C}) \subset X \cap (X + a)$. So $\dim\{a \in A \mid a + f(\mathbf{C}) \in X\} \geq 1$.

Methods of dealing with $B_{k,m}$

Abelian variety case (Green-Griffiths): Let $X \subset A$, for each k , take $m = k!$, Schwarz Lemma \implies
 $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \subset \{j, \dim(\phi_m^{-1}(\phi_m(j))) \geq 1\}$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$. This means \exists a positive variety in the fiber $\phi_k^{-1}(\phi_m(j_m(f)(t_0)))$, say a germ $t' \mapsto u(t') = (z(t'), j_k) \in \mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$ with $u(0) = j_k(f)(t_0) = (z_0, j_k)$, where $z_0 = f(t_0) \in A$. Then $V_k(f) := \{s \in A : j_k(f)(t_0) \in J_k(X) \cap J_k(\tau_{-s}(X))\}$ contains the curve $s(t') := z(t') - z_0$ through 0, thus $\dim V_k \geq 1$. The nested sequence $V_1(f) \supseteq V_2(f) \supseteq V_3(f) \supseteq \dots$ eventually stabilizes at a variety $V(f)$ with $\dim V(f) \geq 1$. By power series, $a \in V(f)$ if and only if $f(\mathbf{C}) \subset X \cap (X + a)$. So $\dim\{a \in A \mid a + f(\mathbf{C}) \in X\} \geq 1$.

Methods of dealing with $B_{k,m}$

Abelian variety case (Green-Griffiths): Let $X \subset A$, for each k , take $m = k!$, Schwarz Lemma \implies
 $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \subset \{j, \dim(\phi_m^{-1}(\phi_m(j))) \geq 1\}$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$. This means \exists a positive variety in the fiber $\phi_k^{-1}(\phi_m(j_m(f)(t_0)))$, say a germ $t' \mapsto u(t') = (z(t'), j_k) \in \mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$ with $u(0) = j_k(f)(t_0) = (z_0, j_k)$, where $z_0 = f(t_0) \in A$. Then $V_k(f) := \{s \in A : j_k(f)(t_0) \in J_k(X) \cap J_k(\tau_{-s}(X))\}$ contains the curve $s(t') := z(t') - z_0$ through 0, thus $\dim V_k \geq 1$. The nested sequence $V_1(f) \supseteq V_2(f) \supseteq V_3(f) \supseteq \dots$ eventually stabilizes at a variety $V(f)$ with $\dim V(f) \geq 1$. By power series, $a \in V(f)$ if and only if $f(\mathbf{C}) \subset X \cap (X + a)$. So $\dim\{a \in A \mid a + f(\mathbf{C}) \in X\} \geq 1$.

Methods of dealing with $B_{k,m}$

Abelian variety case (Green-Griffiths): Let $X \subset A$, for each k , take $m = k!$, Schwarz Lemma \implies
 $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \subset \{j, \dim(\phi_m^{-1}(\phi_m(j))) \geq 1\}$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$. This means \exists a positive variety in the fiber $\phi_k^{-1}(\phi_m(j_m(f)(t_0)))$, say a germ $t' \mapsto u(t') = (z(t'), j_k) \in \mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$ with $u(0) = j_k(f)(t_0) = (z_0, j_k)$, where $z_0 = f(t_0) \in A$. Then $V_k(f) := \{s \in A : j_k(f)(t_0) \in J_k(X) \cap J_k(\tau_{-s}(X))\}$ contains the curve $s(t') := z(t') - z_0$ through 0, thus $\dim V_k \geq 1$. The nested sequence $V_1(f) \supseteq V_2(f) \supseteq V_3(f) \supseteq \dots$ eventually stabilizes at a variety $V(f)$ with $\dim V(f) \geq 1$. By power series, $a \in V(f)$ if and only if $f(\mathbf{C}) \subset X \cap (X + a)$. So $\dim\{a \in A \mid a + f(\mathbf{C}) \in X\} \geq 1$.

Methods of dealing with $B_{k,m}$

Abelian variety case (Green-Griffiths): Let $X \subset A$, for each k , take $m = k!$, Schwarz Lemma \implies
 $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \subset \{j, \dim(\phi_m^{-1}(\phi_m(j))) \geq 1\}$ for $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$. This means \exists a positive variety in the fiber $\phi_k^{-1}(\phi_m(j_m(f)(t_0)))$, say a germ $t' \mapsto u(t') = (z(t'), j_k) \in \mathbf{P}(J_k(X))$ with $u(0) = j_k(f)(t_0) = (z_0, j_k)$, where $z_0 = f(t_0) \in A$. Then $V_k(f) := \{s \in A : j_k(f)(t_0) \in J_k(X) \cap J_k(\tau_{-s}(X))\}$ contains the curve $s(t') := z(t') - z_0$ through 0, thus $\dim V_k \geq 1$. The nested sequence $V_1(f) \supseteq V_2(f) \supseteq V_3(f) \supseteq \dots$ eventually stabilizes at a variety $V(f)$ with $\dim V(f) \geq 1$. By power series, $a \in V(f)$ if and only if $f(\mathbf{C}) \subset X \cap (X + a)$. So $\dim\{a \in A \mid a + f(\mathbf{C}) \in X\} \geq 1$.

Concluion: Let $X \subset A$. Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be Zariski dense. If $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \subset B_{k,m}$, then $W := \{a \in A \mid a + X = X\}$ is a **positive dimensional** subgroup of A , or

Theorem (Bloch). Let A be an Abelian variety and $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ be holomorphic. Let X be the Zariski closure of the image of f . Then X is a translate of an Abelian sub-variety of A .

Concluion: Let $X \subset A$. Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be Zariski dense. If $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \subset B_{k,m}$, then $W := \{a \in A \mid a + X = X\}$ is a **positive dimensional** subgroup of A , or

Theorem (Bloch). *Let A be an Abelian variety and $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ be holomorphic. Let X be the Zariski closure of the image of f . Then X is a translate of an Abelian sub-variety of A .*

The result of Lu-Yau: Lu-Yau observed that, for an algebraic surface X with $c_1^2(X) > c_2(X)$, there is a section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{1,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(T(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(-A))$ for m big enough. Furthermore, when $c_1^2(X) > 2c_2(X)$, then an argument of Miyaoka shows that the base locus of $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{1,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ is at most 1-dimensional for m big enough. So any entire curve $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ must be algebraically degenerate if $c_1^2(X) > 2c_2(X)$.

The result of Lu-Yau: Lu-Yau observed that, for an algebraic surface X with $c_1^2(X) > c_2(X)$, there is a section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{1,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(T(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(-A))$ for m big enough. Furthermore, when $c_1^2(X) > 2c_2(X)$, then an argument of Miyaoka shows that the base locus of $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{1,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ is at most 1-dimensional for m big enough. So any entire curve $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ must be algebraically degenerate if $c_1^2(X) > 2c_2(X)$.

The result of Lu-Yau: Lu-Yau observed that, for an algebraic surface X with $c_1^2(X) > c_2(X)$, there is a section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{1,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(T(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(-A))$ for m big enough. Furthermore, when $c_1^2(X) > 2c_2(X)$, then an argument of Miyaoka shows that the base locus of $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{1,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ is at most 1-dimensional for m big enough. So any entire curve $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ must be algebraically degenerate if $c_1^2(X) > 2c_2(X)$.

The result of Lu-Yau: Lu-Yau observed that, for an algebraic surface X with $c_1^2(X) > c_2(X)$, there is a section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{1,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A)) = H^0(\mathbf{P}(T(X)), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(-A))$ for m big enough. Furthermore, when $c_1^2(X) > 2c_2(X)$, then an argument of Miyaoka shows that the base locus of $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{1,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ is at most 1-dimensional for m big enough. So any entire curve $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ must be algebraically degenerate if $c_1^2(X) > 2c_2(X)$.

Griffiths Conjecture

Griffiths' Conjecture: *if X is projective of general type, then every holomorphic map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ must be degenerate.*

Although the conjecture seems still far from being reach, J.P. Demailly (J.P. Demailly: "Holomorphic Morse inequalities and the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture", preprint, 2011,) has made a significant step towards to the solution. He proved, using the holomorphic Morse inequality, the existence of $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ when X is projective of general type. Thus, from Schwarz lemma, $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ on \mathbf{C} .

Griffiths Conjecture

Griffiths' Conjecture: *if X is projective of general type, then every holomorphic map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ must be degenerate.*

Although the conjecture seems still far from being reach, J.P. Demailly (J.P. Demailly: "Holomorphic Morse inequalities and the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture", preprint, 2011,) has made a significant step towards to the solution. He proved, using the holomorphic Morse inequality, the existence of $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ when X is projective of general type. Thus, from Schwarz lemma, $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ on \mathbf{C} .

Griffiths Conjecture

Griffiths' Conjecture: *if X is projective of general type, then every holomorphic map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ must be degenerate.*

Although the conjecture seems still far from being reach, J.P. Demailly (J.P. Demailly: "Holomorphic Morse inequalities and the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture", preprint, 2011,) has made a significant step towards to the solution. He proved, using the holomorphic Morse inequality, the existence of $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ when X is projective of general type. Thus, from Schwarz lemma, $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ on \mathbf{C} .

Griffiths Conjecture

Griffiths' Conjecture: *if X is projective of general type, then every holomorphic map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ must be degenerate.*

Although the conjecture seems still far from being reach, J.P. Demailly (J.P. Demailly: "Holomorphic Morse inequalities and the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture", preprint, 2011,) has made a significant step towards to the solution. He proved, using the holomorphic Morse inequality, the existence of $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-A))$ when X is projective of general type. Thus, from Schwarz lemma, $f^*\omega \equiv 0$ on \mathbf{C} .

Of course reducing differential equations to algebraic equations (thus they cut out a proper algebraic locus $Y \subset X$) is still a very big challenge. Siu introduced some ideas how to deal with this while he proved that $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ minus a generic smooth hypersurface of sufficiently large degree is hyperbolic. Recently, S. Diverio, J. Merker, and E. Rousseau showed that if for a generic $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ with $\dim X = n$ and $\deg(X) \geq 2^{n^5}$, then there is proper subvariety Y of X such that any non-constant holomorphic map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ satisfies $f(\mathbf{C}) \subset Y$. In addition, S. Diverio and S. Trapani recently showed that one can indeed get $\text{codim } Y \geq 2$ and therefore a generic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ of degree $d \geq 593$ is hyperbolic.

Of course reducing differential equations to algebraic equations (thus they cut out a proper algebraic locus $Y \subset X$) is still a very big challenge. Siu introduced some ideas how to deal with this while he proved that $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ minus a generic smooth hypersurface of sufficiently large degree is hyperbolic.

Recently, S. Diverio, J. Merker, and E. Rousseau showed that if for a generic $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ with $\dim X = n$ and $\deg(X) \geq 2^{n^5}$, then there is proper subvariety Y of X such that any non-constant holomorphic map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ satisfies $f(\mathbf{C}) \subset Y$. In addition, S. Diverio and S. Trapani recently showed that one can indeed get $\text{codim } Y \geq 2$ and therefore a generic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ of degree $d \geq 593$ is hyperbolic.

Of course reducing differential equations to algebraic equations (thus they cut out a proper algebraic locus $Y \subset X$) is still a very big challenge. Siu introduced some ideas how to deal with this while he proved that $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ minus a generic smooth hypersurface of sufficiently large degree is hyperbolic. Recently, S. Diverio, J. Merker, and E. Rousseau showed that if for a generic $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ with $\dim X = n$ and $\deg(X) \geq 2^{n^5}$, then there is proper subvariety Y of X such that any non-constant holomorphic map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ satisfies $f(\mathbf{C}) \subset Y$. In addition, S. Diverio and S. Trapani recently showed that one can indeed get $\text{codim } Y \geq 2$ and therefore a generic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ of degree $d \geq 593$ is hyperbolic.

Of course reducing differential equations to algebraic equations (thus they cut out a proper algebraic locus $Y \subset X$) is still a very big challenge. Siu introduced some ideas how to deal with this while he proved that $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ minus a generic smooth hypersurface of sufficiently large degree is hyperbolic. Recently, S. Diverio, J. Merker, and E. Rousseau showed that if for a generic $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ with $\dim X = n$ and $\deg(X) \geq 2^{n^5}$, then there is proper subvariety Y of X such that any non-constant holomorphic map $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ satisfies $f(\mathbf{C}) \subset Y$. In addition, S. Diverio and S. Trapani recently showed that one can indeed get $\text{codim } Y \geq 2$ and therefore a generic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ of degree $d \geq 593$ is hyperbolic.

A Unified Version of SMT (Yamanoi) X =smooth variety.
 $D = D_1 + \cdots + D_s$ divisor. Suppose that there is $N > 0$, a line bundle L over X and a morphism $\psi : J_N(X) \rightarrow L^*$ such that $D_1^{(N)} + \cdots + D_s^{(N)} \subset \psi^*\mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero section of L^* .
 Then for every holomorphic $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ with a non-degeneracy condition $j_N(f)(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset \psi^*\mathbf{0}$ where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero section of L^* , we have $\sum_{j=1}^s m(r, f, D_j) + N_{ram,f}(r) + T(r, f, L) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.

A Unified Version of SMT (Yamanoi) X =smooth variety.
 $D = D_1 + \cdots + D_s$ divisor. Suppose that there is $N > 0$, a line bundle L over X and a morphism $\psi : J_N(X) \rightarrow L^*$ such that $D_1^{(N)} + \cdots + D_s^{(N)} \subset \psi^*\mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero section of L^* .
 Then for every holomorphic $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ with a non-degeneracy condition $j_N(f)(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset \psi^*\mathbf{0}$ where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero section of L^* , we have $\sum_{j=1}^s m(r, f, D_j) + N_{ram,f}(r) + T(r, f, L) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.

Application: **Result of Siu-Yeung**: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ such that $f(\mathbf{C})$ is not contained in D . Then $m(r, f, D) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.

Outline of the proof: Let $r_k : J_k(A) = A \times \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$.

We claim that there is a positive integer k such that

$r_k(j_k(f)(0)) \notin r_k(J_k(D)) \subset \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$. Hence, for k big enough, there exists a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$ such that

$r_k(j_k(f))(0) \notin H$, $r_k(D^{(k)})|_{J_k(A)} \subset H$. Let $\psi' : \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $\psi'(0) = H$. Then $\psi := id_A \times \psi' : J_k(A) \rightarrow A \times \mathbf{C} = K_A^*$ satisfies the condition in the main theorem. The main Theorem thus implies the result.

Application: **Result of Siu-Yeung**: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ such that $f(\mathbf{C})$ is not contained in D . Then $m(r, f, D) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.

Outline of the proof: Let $r_k : J_k(A) = A \times \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$.

We claim that there is a positive integer k such that

$r_k(j_k(f)(0)) \notin r_k(J_k(D)) \subset \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$. Hence, for k big enough, there exists a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$ such that

$r_k(j_k(f))(0) \notin H$, $r_k(D^{(k)})|_{J_k(A)} \subset H$. Let $\psi' : \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $\psi'(0) = H$. Then $\psi := id_A \times \psi' : J_k(A) \rightarrow A \times \mathbf{C} = K_A^*$ satisfies the condition in the main theorem. The main Theorem thus implies the result.

Application: **Result of Siu-Yeung**: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ such that $f(\mathbf{C})$ is not contained in D . Then $m(r, f, D) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.

Outline of the proof: Let $r_k : J_k(A) = A \times \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$.

We claim that **there is a positive integer k such that**

$r_k(j_k(f)(0)) \notin r_k(J_k(D)) \subset \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$. Hence, for k big enough, there exists a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$ such that

$r_k(j_k(f))(0) \notin H$, $r_k(D^{(k)})|_{J_k(A)} \subset H$. Let $\psi' : \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $\psi'(0) = H$. Then $\psi := id_A \times \psi' : J_k(A) \rightarrow A \times \mathbf{C} = K_A^*$ satisfies the condition in the main theorem. The main Theorem thus implies the result.

Application: **Result of Siu-Yeung**: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ such that $f(\mathbf{C})$ is not contained in D . Then $m(r, f, D) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.

Outline of the proof: Let $r_k : J_k(A) = A \times \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$.

We claim that **there is a positive integer k such that**

$r_k(j_k(f)(0)) \notin r_k(J_k(D)) \subset \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$. Hence, for k big enough, there exists a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$ such that

$r_k(j_k(f))(0) \notin H$, $r_k(D^{(k)})|_{J_k(A)} \subset H$. Let $\psi' : \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $\psi'(0) = H$. Then $\psi := id_A \times \psi' : J_k(A) \rightarrow A \times \mathbf{C} = K_A^*$ satisfies the condition in the main theorem. The main Theorem thus implies the result.

Application: **Result of Siu-Yeung**: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ such that $f(\mathbf{C})$ is not contained in D . Then $m(r, f, D) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.

Outline of the proof: Let $r_k : J_k(A) = A \times \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$.

We claim that **there is a positive integer k such that**

$r_k(j_k(f)(0)) \notin r_k(J_k(D)) \subset \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$. Hence, for k big enough, there exists a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$ such that

$r_k(j_k(f))(0) \notin H$, $r_k(D^{(k)})|_{J_k(A)} \subset H$. Let $\psi' : \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $\psi'(0) = H$. Then $\psi := id_A \times \psi' : J_k(A) \rightarrow A \times \mathbf{C} = K_A^*$ satisfies the condition in the main theorem. The main Theorem thus

implies the result.

Application: **Result of Siu-Yeung**: $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ such that $f(\mathbf{C})$ is not contained in D . Then $m(r, f, D) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r)))$.

Outline of the proof: Let $r_k : J_k(A) = A \times \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$.

We claim that **there is a positive integer k such that**

$r_k(j_k(f)(0)) \notin r_k(J_k(D)) \subset \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$. Hence, for k big enough, there exists a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A}$ such that

$r_k(j_k(f))(0) \notin H$, $r_k(D^{(k)})|_{J_k(A)} \subset H$. Let $\psi' : \mathbf{C}^{k \dim A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $\psi'(0) = H$. Then $\psi := id_A \times \psi' : J_k(A) \rightarrow A \times \mathbf{C} = K_A^*$ satisfies the condition in the main theorem. The main Theorem thus implies the result.

The unified version also implies **Schwarz Lemma**: *Assume that there is a k -jet differential ω on X vanishes on an ample divisor A . The $f^*\omega$ is zero indentially on \mathbf{C} .*

The proof is as follows: If not. Note that ω induces a morphism $J_k(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The fact that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A means that $\phi : J_k(X) \rightarrow L_H^*$. $f^*\omega$ is not zero indentially on \mathbf{C} implies that $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset \psi^*\mathbf{0}$ where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero section of L_A^* . Hence, from the above theorem,

$$T(r, f, A) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r))).$$

which is a contradiction.

The unified version also implies **Schwarz Lemma**: *Assume that there is a k -jet differential ω on X vanishes on an ample divisor A . The $f^*\omega$ is zero indentially on \mathbf{C} .*

The proof is as follows: If not. Note that ω induces a morphism $J_k(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The fact that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A means that $\phi : J_k(X) \rightarrow L_H^*$. $f^*\omega$ is not zero indentially on \mathbf{C} implies that $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset \psi^*\mathbf{0}$ where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero section of L_A^* . Hence, from the above theorem,

$$T(r, f, A) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r))).$$

which is a contradiction.

The unified version also implies **Schwarz Lemma**: *Assume that there is a k -jet differential ω on X vanishes on an ample divisor A . The $f^*\omega$ is zero indentially on \mathbf{C} .*

The proof is as follows: If not. Note that ω induces a morphism $J_k(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The fact that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A means that $\phi : J_k(X) \rightarrow L_H^*$. $f^*\omega$ is not zero indentially on \mathbf{C} implies that $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset \psi^*\mathbf{0}$ where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero section of L_A^* . Hence, from the above theorem,

$$T(r, f, A) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r))).$$

which is a contradiction.

The unified version also implies **Schwarz Lemma**: *Assume that there is a k -jet differential ω on X vanishes on an ample divisor A . The $f^*\omega$ is zero indentially on \mathbf{C} .*

The proof is as follows: If not. Note that ω induces a morphism $J_k(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The fact that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A means that $\phi : J_k(X) \rightarrow L_H^*$. $f^*\omega$ is not zero indentially on \mathbf{C} implies that $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset \psi^*\mathbf{0}$ where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero section of L_A^* . Hence, from the above theorem,

$$T(r, f, A) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r))).$$

which is a contradiction.

The unified version also implies **Schwarz Lemma**: *Assume that there is a k -jet differential ω on X vanishes on an ample divisor A . The $f^*\omega$ is zero indentially on \mathbf{C} .*

The proof is as follows: If not. Note that ω induces a morphism $J_k(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The fact that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A means that $\phi : J_k(X) \rightarrow L_H^*$. $f^*\omega$ is not zero indentially on \mathbf{C} implies that $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset \psi^*\mathbf{0}$ where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero section of L_A^* . Hence, from the above theorem,

$$T(r, f, A) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r))).$$

which is a contradiction.

The unified version also implies **Schwarz Lemma**: *Assume that there is a k -jet differential ω on X vanishes on an ample divisor A . The $f^*\omega$ is zero indentially on \mathbf{C} .*

The proof is as follows: If not. Note that ω induces a morphism $J_k(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The fact that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A means that $\phi : J_k(X) \rightarrow L_H^*$. $f^*\omega$ is not zero indentially on \mathbf{C} implies that $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset \psi^*\mathbf{0}$ where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero section of L_A^* . Hence, from the above theorem,

$$T(r, f, A) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r))).$$

which is a contradiction.

The unified version also implies **Schwarz Lemma**: *Assume that there is a k -jet differential ω on X vanishes on an ample divisor A . The $f^*\omega$ is zero indentially on \mathbf{C} .*

The proof is as follows: If not. Note that ω induces a morphism $J_k(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The fact that ω vanishes on an ample divisor A means that $\phi : J_k(X) \rightarrow L_H^*$. $f^*\omega$ is not zero indentially on \mathbf{C} implies that $j_k(f)(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset \psi^*\mathbf{0}$ where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero section of L_A^* . Hence, from the above theorem,

$$T(r, f, A) \leq_{exc} O(\log(rT_f(r))).$$

which is a contradiction.

E^* is ample if $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is ample over $\mathbf{P}(E)$ where $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is the dual of the tautological line bundle over $\mathbf{P}(E)$. By Grothendieck: For any S =analytic sheaf,

$$H^i(X, \otimes^m E^* \otimes S) = H^i(\mathbf{P}(E), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes p^* S).$$

E^* is ample if $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is ample over $\mathbf{P}(E)$ where $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is the dual of the tautological line bundle over $\mathbf{P}(E)$. By Grothendieck: For any S =analytic sheaf,

$$H^i(X, \otimes^m E^* \otimes S) = H^i(\mathbf{P}(E), \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes p^* S).$$